

Gene drive and related references

References arranged according to topic as far as possible. Several are wide-ranging considering e.g. technical, risk and regulatory aspects in one large report. The list includes those from the Perseus study (Study on Risk Assessment Application of annex I of decision CP 9/13 to living modified organisms containing engineered gene drives) plus many additional relevant references, including most that were mentioned in [the gene drive forum](#) not including the extensive literature on ‘conventional LMOs’ which would have expanded the scope greatly.

While extensive, the list is not exhaustive. Hyperlinks were added to several references and several DOI from the Perseus Report that did not locate an article were replaced with a hyperlink yielded by a title search. This does not mean that the DOI were incorrect however.

Technology of gene drives and LMOs

1. Akbari, O. S., Matzen, K. D., Marshall, J. M., Huang, H., Ward, C. M., and Hay, B. A. (2013). A synthetic gene drive system for local, reversible modification and suppression of insect populations. *Curr Biol* 23, 671-677.
2. Alphey, L. (2014). Genetic Control of Mosquitoes. *Ann Rev Entomol* 59, 205-224.
3. Bae, S., Park, J., and Kim, J. S. (2014). Cas-OFFinder: a fast and versatile algorithm that searches for potential off-target sites of Cas9 RNA-guided endonucleases. *Bioinformatics* 30, 1473-1475.
4. Buchman, A., Marshall, J. M., Ostrovski, D., Yang, T., and Akbari, O. S. (2018). Synthetically engineered Medea gene drive system in the worldwide crop pest *Drosophila suzukii*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 115 (18), 4725-4730.
5. Champer, J., Liu, J., Oh, S. Y., Reeves, R., Luthra, A., Oakes, N., Clark, A. G., and Messer, P. W. (2018). Reducing resistance allele formation in CRISPR gene drives. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 115 (21), 5522-5527.
6. Champer, J., Reeves, R., Oh, S. Y., Liu, C., Liu, J., Clark, A. G., and Messer, P. W. (2017). Novel CRISPR/Cas9 gene drive constructs reveal insights into mechanisms of resistance allele formation and drive efficiency in genetically diverse populations. *PLoS Genet* 13, e1006796.
7. Davis, K. M., Pattanayak, V., Thompson, D. B., Zuris, J. A., and Liu, D. R. (2015). Small molecule- triggered Cas9 protein with improved genome-editing specificity. *Nat Chem Biol* 11, 316-318.
8. Galizi, R., Hammond, A., Kyrou, K., Taxiarchi, C., Bernardini, F., O’Loughlin, S. M., et al. (2016). A CRISPR-Cas9 sex-ratio distortion system for genetic control. *Scientific Reports*, 1–5. <http://doi.org/10.1038/srep31139>
9. Gantz, V. M., & Bier, E. (2015). The mutagenic chain reaction: A method for converting heterozygous to homozygous mutations. *Science (New York, NY)*, 348(6233), 442–444. <http://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa5945>
10. Gantz, V. M., Jasinskiene, N., Tatarenkova, O., Fazekas, A., Macias, V. M., Bier, E., & James, A. A. (2015). Highly efficient Cas9-mediated gene drive for population modification of the malaria vector mosquito *Anopheles stephensi*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*, 112(49), E6736–E6743. <http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1521077112>
11. Grunwald, H. A., Gantz, V. M., Poplawski, G., Xu, X. S., Bier, E., and Cooper, K. L. (2019) Super-Mendelian inheritance mediated by CRISPR–Cas9 in the female mouse germline. *Nature* 566(7742), 105–109. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-019-0875-2>.
12. Hammond, A. M., Kyrou, K., Bruttini, M., North, A., Galizi, R., Karlsson, X., Kranjc, N., Carpi, F. M., D’Aurizio, R., Crisanti, A., and Nolan, T. (2017). The creation and selection of mutations resistant to a gene drive over multiple generations in the malaria mosquito. *PLoS Genet* 13, e1007039.
13. Kandul, N. P., Liu, J., Buchman, A., Gantz, V. M., Bier, E., & Akbari, O. S. (2019). Assessment of a Split Homing Based Gene Drive for Efficient Knockout of Multiple Genes. *G3 (Bethesda, Md.)*, g3.400985.2019. <http://doi.org/10.1534/g3.119.400985>
14. Koo, T., Lee, J., and Kim, J. S. (2015). Measuring and Reducing Off-Target Activities of Programmable Nucleases Including CRISPR–Cas9. *Mol Cells* 38, 475-81.

15. Kyrou, K., Hammond, A. M., Galizi, R., Kranjc, N., Burt, A., Beaghton, A. K., et al. (2018). A CRISPR–Cas9 gene drive targeting *doublesex* causes complete population suppression in caged *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes, *36*(11), 1062–1066. <http://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4245>
16. Li, M., Yang, T., Kandul, N. P., Bui, M., Gamez, S., Raban, R., Bennett, J., Sánchez, C. H. M., Lanzaro, G. C., Schmidt, H., Lee, Y., Marshall, J. M. and Akbari, O. S. (2019). Development of a Confinable Gene-Drive System in the Human Disease Vector, *Aedes aegypti*. bioRxiv. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/645440>.
17. Min, J., Noble, C., Najjar, D., and Esvelt, K. (2017). Daisy quorum drives for the genetic restoration of wild populations. bioRxiv doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/115618>.
18. Nihongaki, Y., Otabe, T., & Sato, M. (2018). Emerging Approaches for Spatiotemporal Control of Targeted Genome with Inducible CRISPR-Cas9. *Analytical Chemistry*, *90*(1), 429–439. <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b04757>
19. Noble, C., Min, J., Olejarz, J., Buchthal, J., Chavez, A., Smidler, A. L., DeBenedictis, E. A., Church, G. M., Nowak, M. A., and Esvelt, K. M. (2019). Daisy-chain gene drives for the alteration of local populations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* *116* (17) 8275-8282.
20. O’Neill, S. L., Ryan, P. A., Turley, A. P., Wilson, G., Retzki, K., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Dong, Y., Kenny, N., Paton, C. J., Ritchie, S. A., Brown-Kenyon, J., Stanford, D., Wittmeier, N., Jewell, N. P., Tanamas, S. K., Anders, K. L. and Simmons, C. P. (2019). Scaled deployment of *Wolbachia* to protect the community from dengue and other *Aedes* transmitted arboviruses. *Gates open research*, *2*, 36-36.
21. Paulo, D. F., Williamson, M. E., Arp, A. P., Li, F., Sagel, A., Skoda, S. R., et al. (2019). Specific Gene Disruption in the Major Livestock Pests *Cochliomyia hominivorax* and *Lucilia cuprina* Using CRISPR/Cas9. *G3* (Bethesda, Md.), *9*(9), 3045–3055. <http://doi.org/10.1534/g3.119.400544>
22. Prowse, T. A., Adikusuma, F., Cassey, P., Thomas, P., & Ross, J. V. (2019). A Y-chromosome shredding gene drive for controlling pest vertebrate populations. *eLife*, *8*, 1736. <http://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.41873>
23. Sim, S. B., Kauwe, A. N., Ruano, R. E. Y., Rendon, P., & Geib, S. M. (2019). The ABCs of CRISPR in Tephritidae: developing methods for inducing heritable mutations in the genera *Anastrepha*, *Bactrocera* and *Ceratitidis*. *Insect Molecular Biology*, *28*(2), 277–289. <http://doi.org/10.1111/imb.12550>
24. Unckless, R. L., Clark, A. G., and Messer, P. W. (2017). Evolution of Resistance Against CRISPR/Cas9 Gene Drive. *Genetics* *205*, 827-841.
25. Windbichler, N., Menichelli, M., Papathanos, P. A., Thyme, S. B., Li, H., Ulge, U. Y., et al. (2011). A synthetic homing endonuclease-based gene drive system in the human malaria mosquito. *Nature*, 1–6. <http://doi.org/10.1038/nature09937>
26. Ali, M. W., Zheng, W., Sohail, S., Li, Q., Zheng, W., & Zhang, H. (2017). A genetically enhanced sterile insect technique against the fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) by feeding adult double-stranded RNAs. *Scientific Reports*, 1–11. <http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-04431-z>

Theoretical perspectives and reviews

27. Critique of African Union and NEPAD’s positions on gene drive mosquitoes for Malaria elimination. (2018), 1–18. https://www.acbio.org.za/sites/default/files/documents/Critique_of_African_Union_and_NEPADs_positions_on_gene_drive_mosquitoes_for_Malaria_elimination.pdf
28. Benedict, M. Q., & Robinson, A. S. (2003). The first releases of transgenic mosquitoes: an argument for the sterile insect technique. *Trends in Parasitology*, *19*(8), 349–355. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1471492203001442>
29. Bull, J. J., & Malik, H. S. (2017). The gene drive bubble: New realities. *PLoS Genetics*, *13*(7), e1006850. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006850>
30. Bull, J. J. (2015). Evolutionary decay and the prospects for long-term disease intervention using engineered insect vectors. *Evol. Med. Public Health*, 152-166.
31. Burt, A. (2003). Site-specific selfish genes as tools for the control and genetic engineering of natural populations. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, *270*(1518), 921.
32. Burt, A., Coulibaly, M., Crisanti, A., Diabate, A., & Kayondo, J. K. (2018). Gene drive to reduce malaria transmission in sub-Saharan Africa. *J. Responsible Inno.*, *5*(sup1), S66–S80. <http://doi.org/10.1080/23299460.2017.1419410>
33. Burt, A., & Crisanti, A. (2018). Gene Drive: Evolved and Synthetic. *ACS Chemical Biology*, *13*(2), 343–346. <http://doi.org/10.1021/acscchembio.7b01031>

34. Collins, J. P. (2018). Gene drives in our future: challenges of and opportunities for using a self-sustaining technology in pest and vector management. *BMC Proceedings*, 12(8), 37–41. <http://doi.org/10.1186/s12919-018-0110-4>
35. Dearden, P. K., Gemmill, N. J., Mercier, O. R., Lester, P. J., Scott, M. J., Newcomb, R. D., et al. (2017). The potential for the use of gene drives for pest control in New Zealand: a perspective. *J Royal Soc New Zealand*, 0(0), 1–20. <http://doi.org/10.1080/03036758.2017.1385030>
36. Esvelt, K. M., Smidler, A. L., Catteruccia, F., and Church, G. M. (2014). Concerning RNA-guided gene drives for the alteration of wild populations. *eLife* 3:e03401.
37. Friedman, R.M., Marshall, J.M., Akbari, O.S. (2020). Gene drives: New and improved. *J Responsible Innovat.* <http://bch.cbd.int/cms/ui/forums/attachment.aspx?id=1687>
38. Godfray, H. C. J., North, A., and Burt, A. (2017). How driving endonuclease genes can be used to combat pests and disease vectors. *Bmc Biology* 15.
39. Godwin, J., Serr, M., Barnhill-Dilling, S. K., Blondel, D. V., Brown, P. R., Campbell, K., Delborne, J., Lloyd, A. L., Oh, K. P., Prowse, T. A. A., Saah, R. and Thomas, P. (2019). Rodent gene drives for conservation: opportunities and data needs. *Proc Royal Soc B: Biological Sci*, 286, 20191606.
40. Kuiken, T., Delborne, J. and Kokotovich, A. IUCN Report: Genetic frontiers for conservation – An assessment of synthetic biology and biodiversity conservation. <https://research.ncsu.edu/ges/2019/05/genetic-frontiers-for-conservation-iucn/>
41. James, S. and Tountas, K. H. (2018). Using Gene Drive Technologies to Control Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases. *Sustainability*, 10, 4789.
42. Leftwich, P. T., Edgington, M. P., Harvey-Samuel, T., Carabajal Paladino, L. Z., Norman, V. C., & Alphey, L. (2018). Recent advances in threshold-dependent gene drives for mosquitoes. *Biochem Soc Trans*, 46(5), 1203–1212. <http://doi.org/10.1042/BST20180076>
43. Ma, E., Harrington, L.B., O'Connell, M.R., Zhou, K., Doudna, J.A. (2015). Single-Stranded DNA Cleavage by Divergent CRISPR-Cas9 Enzymes. *Molecular Cell* v60:398-407. [https://www.cell.com/molecular-cell/fulltext/S1097-2765\(15\)00821-7](https://www.cell.com/molecular-cell/fulltext/S1097-2765(15)00821-7)
44. Macias, V. M., Ohm, J. R., & Rasgon, J. L. (2017). Gene Drive for Mosquito Control: Where Did It Come from and Where Are We Headed? *Int J Environ Res Pub Health*, 14(9), 1006. <http://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14091006>
45. Min, J., Smidler, A. L., Najjar, D., & Esvelt, K. M. (2017). Harnessing gene drive. *J. Responsible Innov.*, 5(sup1), S40–S65. <http://doi.org/10.1080/23299460.2017.1415586>
46. Moro, D., Byrne, M., Kennedy, M., Campbell, S and Tizard, M. (2018). Identifying knowledge gaps for gene drive research to control invasive animal species: The next CRISPR step. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 13, e00363.
47. Neve, P. (2018). Gene drive systems: do they have a place in agricultural weed management? *Pest Manag Sci*, 74(12), 2671–2679. <http://doi.org/10.1002/ps.5137>
48. Noble, C., Adlam, B., Church, G. M., Esvelt, K. M., & Nowak, M. A. (2017). Current CRISPR gene drive systems are likely to be highly invasive in wild populations, 1–29. <http://doi.org/10.1101/219022>
49. Price, T. A. R., Verspoor, R., & Wedell, N. (2019). Ancient gene drives: an evolutionary paradox. *Proc Royal Soc B*, 286(1917), 20192267. <http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.2267>
50. Prowse, T. A. A., Cassey, P., Ross, J. V., Pfitzner, C., Wittmann, T. A., and Thomas, P. (2017). Dodging silver bullets: good CRISPR gene-drive design is critical for eradicating exotic vertebrates. *Proc Royal Soc B-Biological Sci* 284: 20170799.
51. Scott, M. J., Gould, F., Korenzen, M. D., Grubbs, N., Edwards, O. R., & O'brochta, D. A. (2016). Agricultural Production: Assessment of the Potential use of Cas9-mediated Gene Drive Systems for Agricultural Pest Control. *J Responsible Innovat*, 1–27. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/23299460.2017.1410343>
52. Steinbrecher, R., Wells, M., Brandt, R., Bücking, E., Gurian, D., Gurian-Sherman, D. Potential Applications and Risks. (Gene drives) <https://genedrives.ch/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Gene-Drive-Kapitel-2-WEB.pdf>
53. Wedell, N., Price, T. A. R., & Lindholm, A. K. (2019). Gene drive: progress and prospects. *Proc Royal Soc B*, 286(1917), 20192709. <http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.2709>

Gene drive guidance

54. Adelman, Z. N., Pledger, D., & Myles, K. M. (2018). Developing standard operating procedures for gene drive research in disease vector mosquitoes. *Pathogens Global Health*, 9(2), 1–12. <http://doi.org/10.1080/20477724.2018.1424514>

55. Akbari, O. S., Bellen, H. J., Bier, E., Bullock, S. L., Burt, A., Church, G. M., et al. (2015). Safeguarding gene drive experiments in the laboratory. *Science (New York, NY)*, 349(6251), 927–929. <http://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac7932>
56. Australian Academy of Science (2017) “Discussion paper – Synthetic gene drives in Australia: Implications of emerging technologies”. Available at: <https://www.science.org.au/support/analysis/reports/synthetic-gene-drives-australia-implications-emerging-technologies>.
57. Benedict, M., D’Abbs, P., Dobson, S., Gottlieb, M., Harrington, L., Higgs, S., James, A., James, S., Knols, B., Lavery, J., O’Neill, S., Scott, T., Takken, W., and Toure, Y. (2008). Guidance for contained field trials of vector mosquitoes engineered to contain a gene drive system: recommendations of a scientific working group. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 8, 127-166.
58. Benedict, M. Q., Burt, A., Margareth, C. L., De Barro, P., Alfred, H. M., Hayes, K. R., et al. (2018). Recommendations for Laboratory Containment and Management of Gene Drive Systems in Arthropods. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis*, 18(1), 2–13. <http://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2017.2121>
59. Carter, S.R and Friedman, R. M. (2016). Policy and Regulatory Issues for Gene Drives in Insects. Workshop Report. 1–22. <https://www.jcvi.org/sites/default/files/assets/projects/policy-and-regulatory-issues-for-gene-drives-in-insects/report-complete.pdf>
60. Emerson, C., James, S., Littler, K., & Randazzo, F. F. (2017). Principles for gene drive research. *Science*, 358(6367), 1135–1136. <http://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap9026>
61. ENSSER. Gene drives: A report on their science, applications, social aspects, ethics and regulations <https://genedrives.ch/report>
62. HCB Scientific Committee (2017). Scientific Opinion of the High Council for Biotechnology concerning use of genetically modified mosquitoes for vector control in response to the referral of 12 October 2015 (Ref. HCB-2017.06.07). (Paris, HCB), 142 pp. Available at: <http://www.hautconseildesbiotechnologies.fr>.
63. James, A. A. (2005). Gene drive systems in mosquitoes: rules of the road. *Trends in Parasitology* 21, 64-67.
64. James, S., Collins, F. H., Welkhoff, P. A., Emerson, C., Godfray, H. C. J., Gottlieb, M., Greenwood, B., Lindsay, S. W., Mbogo, C. M., Okumu, F. O., Quemada, H., Savadogo, M., Singh, J. A., Tountas, K. H. and Toure, Y. T. (2018). Pathway to Deployment of Gene Drive Mosquitoes as a Potential Biocontrol Tool for Elimination of Malaria in Sub-Saharan Africa: Recommendations of a Scientific Working Group. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 98, 1-49.
65. NASEM (National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine) (2016). *Gene Drives on the Horizon: Advancing Science, Navigating Uncertainty, and Aligning Research with Public Values*. The National Academies Press, Washington (DC), 215pp. <https://www.nap.edu/catalog/23405/gene-drives-on-the-horizon-advancing-science-navigating-uncertainty-and>
66. OGTR (Office of the Gene Technology Regulator) (2019). Guidance for IBCs: Regulatory requirements for contained research with GMOs containing engineered gene drives. Available at: [https://research.unsw.edu.au/document/Regulatory requirements for contained research with GMOs containing engineered gene drives.pdf](https://research.unsw.edu.au/document/Regulatory%20requirements%20for%20contained%20research%20with%20GMOs%20containing%20engineered%20gene%20drives.pdf).
67. UNEP/CBD (2012). *Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms*. Available at: https://bch.cbd.int/protocol/guidance_risk_assessment/.
68. Westra, J., van der Vlugt, C. J. B., Roesink, C. H., Hogervorst, P. A. M., and Glandorf, D. C. M. (2016). *Gene Drives Policy Report*. RIVM Letter report 2016-0023 (National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Netherlands). Available at: <https://www.rivm.nl/bibliotheek/rapporten/2016-0023.pdf>.
69. WHO (2014) “The Guidance Framework for Testing Genetically Modified Mosquitoes.” WHO Document Production Services (DUP), Geneva, Switzerland. <https://www.who.int/tdr/publications/year/2014/guide-fmrk-gm-mosquit/en/>
70. ZKBS (Zentrale Kommission für die Biologische Sicherheit) (2016). Position statement of the ZKBS on the classification of genetic engineering operations for the production and use of higher organisms using recombinant gene drive systems. Available at: <https://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=110745>

Gene drive modeling

71. Beaghton, A., Beaghton, P. J., & Burt, A. (2017). Vector control with driving Y chromosomes: modelling the evolution of resistance. *Malaria Journal*, 1–14. <http://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-017-1932-7>

72. Beaghton, A. K., Hammond, A., Nolan, T., Crisanti, A., & Burt, A. (2019). Gene drive for population genetic control: non-functional resistance and parental effects. *Proc Royal Soc B*, 286(1914), 20191586. <http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.1586>
73. Bull J. J., Remien C. H., Gomulkiewicz R., and Krone S.M. (2019). Spatial structure undermines parasite suppression by gene drive cargo. *PeerJ* 7:e7921. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7921>.
74. Bull J. J., Remien C. H., and Krone S. M. (2019). Gene-drive-mediated extinction is thwarted by population structure and evolution of sib mating. *Evol Med Pub Health* 1, 66–81. [Doi:10.1093/emph/eoz014](https://doi.org/10.1093/emph/eoz014).
75. Champer, J., Buchman, A., and Akbari, O. S. (2016). Cheating evolution: engineering gene drives to manipulate the fate of wild populations. *Nat Rev Genet* 17, 146-459.
76. de Jong, T. J. (2017). Gene drives do not always increase in frequency: from genetic models to risk assessment. *Journal Fur Verbraucherschutz Und Lebensmittelsicherheit-J Consum Protect Food Safety* 12, 299-307.
77. Deredec, A., Godfray, H. C., and Burt, A. (2011). Requirements for effective malaria control with homing endonuclease genes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108, E874-80.
78. Deredec, A., Godfray, H. C. J., & Burt, A. (2011). Requirements for effective malaria control with homing endonuclease genes. *Proc Nat Acad Sci*, 108(43), E874–80. <http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1110717108>
79. Dhole, S., Vella, M. R., Lloyd, A. L., and Gould, F. (2018). Invasion and migration of spatially self-limiting gene drives: A comparative analysis. *Evolutionary Applications* 11(5), 794-808.
80. Drury, D. W., Dapper, A. L., Siniard, D. J., Zentner, G. E., and Wade, M. J. (2017). CRISPR/Cas9 gene drives in genetically variable and nonrandomly mating wild populations. *Science Advances* 3, e1601910.
81. Eckhoff, P. A., Wenger, E. A., Godfray, H. C. J., & Burt, A. (2017). Impact of mosquito gene drive on malaria elimination in a computational model with explicit spatial and temporal dynamics, *PNAS* 114(2), E255–E264. <http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1611064114>
82. Edgington, M. P., & Alphey, L. S. (2018). Population dynamics of engineered underdominance and killer-rescue gene drives in the control of disease vectors. *PLoS Computational Biol*, 14(3), e1006059. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006059>
83. Godfray, H., North, A., & Burt, A. (2017). How gene-drive endonucleases can be used to combat pests and disease vectors. *BMC Biology*, 15(81), 427. <http://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-017-0420-4>
84. Heffel, M. G., & Finnigan, G. C. (2019). Mathematical modeling of self-contained CRISPR gene drive reversal systems. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 1–10. <http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-54805-8>
85. Huang, Y., Magori, K., Lloyd, A. L., and Gould, F. (2007). Introducing desirable transgenes into insect populations using Y-linked meiotic drive - a theoretical assessment. *Evolution* 61, 717-726.
86. Legros, M., Xu, C., Morrison, A., Scott, T. W., Lloyd, A. L., & Gould, F. (2013). Modeling the dynamics of a non-limited and a self-limited gene drive system in structured *Aedes aegypti* populations. *PLoS ONE*, 8(12), e83354. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083354>
87. Manser, A., Cornell, S. J., Sutter, A., Blondel, D. V., Serr, M., Godwin, J., & Price, T. A. R. (2019). Controlling invasive rodents via synthetic gene drive and the role of polyandry. *Proc Royal Soc B*, 286(1909), 20190852. <http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.0852>
88. Marshall, J. M., & Hay, B. A. (2011). Inverse Medea as a novel gene drive system for local population replacement: a theoretical analysis. *J Heredity*. <https://europepmc.org/article/PMC/3076586>
89. Marshall, J. M. (2009). The effect of gene drive on containment of transgenic mosquitoes. *J Theoret Biol*, 258(2), 250–265. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2009.01.031>
90. Marshall, J. M., Buchman, A., Sánchez C, H. M., and Akbari, O. S. (2017). Overcoming evolved resistance to population-suppressing homing-based gene drives. *Scientific Reports* 7, 3776.
91. Marshall, J. M., and Hay, B. A. (2012). Confinement of gene drive systems to local populations: a comparative analysis. *J Theor Biol* 294, 153-171.
92. Nash, A., Urdaneta, G. M., Beaghton, A. K., Hoermann, A., Papathanos, P. A., Christophides, G. K., & Windbichler, N. (2019). Integral gene drives for population replacement. *Biology Open*, 8(1), bio037762. <http://doi.org/10.1242/bio.037762>
93. North, A. R., Burt, A. and Godfray H. C. J. (2019). Modelling the Potential of Genetic Control of Malaria Mosquitoes at National Scale. *BMC Biology* 17 (1), 26. <https://bmcbiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12915-019-0645-5>

94. North, A., Burt, A., and Godfray, H. C. J. (2013). Modelling the spatial spread of a homing endonuclease gene in a mosquito population. *J Appl Ecol.* <http://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12133> (Note: Y. Buckley was incorrectly identified as an author in the Perseus report)
95. Sudweeks, J., Hollingsworth, B., Blondel, D. V., Campbell, K. J., Dhole, S., Eisemann, J. D., et al. (2019). Locally Fixed Alleles: A method to localize gene drive to island populations. *bioRxiv*, 35(2), 509364. <http://doi.org/10.1101/509364>

Gene drive ecology

96. Collins, C. M., Bonds, J. A., Quinlan, M. M. and Mumford, J. D. (2019). Effects of the removal or reduction in density of the malaria mosquito, *Anopheles gambiae s.l.*, on interacting predators and competitors in local ecosystems. *Med Vet Entomol*, 33, 1–15.
97. David, A. S., Kaser, J. M., Morey, A. C., Roth, A. M., and Andow, D. A. (2013). Release of genetically engineered insects: a framework to identify potential ecological effects. *Ecology and Evolution* 3, 4000–4015.
98. Evelt, K. M., & Gemmell, N. J. (2017). Conservation demands safe gene drive, *15*(11), e2003850–8. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2003850>
99. Marshall, J. M. and Akbari O. S. (2018). Can CRISPR-Based Gene Drive Be Confined in the Wild? A Question for Molecular and Population Biology. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 2018, 13, 424–430. Available at: <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acscchembio.7b00923>.
100. Mathematical Ecology Research Group, Univ. Oxford. (pages 1–4). Ecological Risks of Gene Drive Technologies. (NOTE: This appears to be a pamphlet on general concepts or poster). https://merg.zoo.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/GeneDrivePolicyBrief_PRINT.pdf
101. Rode, N. O., Estoup, A., Bourguet, D., Courtier-Orgogozo, V. and Debarre, F. (2019). Population management using gene drive: molecular design, models of spread dynamics and assessment of ecological risks. *Conserv Genet*, 20, 671–690.

Risk assessment

102. Barratt, B. I. P., Howarth, F. G., Withers, T. M., Kean, J. M., & Ridley, G. S. (2010). Progress in risk assessment for classical biological control. *Biological Control*, 52(3), 245–254. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2009.02.012>
103. Barratt, B.I.P. Review: Assessing safety of biological control introductions. *CAB Reviews: Perspectives in Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources*, 2011 6(042). <https://www.cabi.org/bni/FullTextPDF/2011/20113380214.pdf>
104. Cotter, J., Kawal, K., Then, K. (2020). New genetic engineering technologies. https://www.testbiotech.org/sites/default/files/RAGES_report-%20new%20genetic%20engineering%20techniques.pdf
105. Devos, Y., Craig, W., Devlin, R. H., Ippolito, A., Leggatt, R. A., Romeis, J., Shaw, R., Svendsen, C. and Topping, C. J. (2019). Using problem formulation for fit-for-purpose pre-market environmental risk assessments of regulated stressors. *EFSA Journal*, 17(S1):e170708, 31 pp.
106. EFSA GMO Panel (EFSA Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms), 2013. Guidance on the environmental risk assessment of genetically modified animals. *EFSA J* 11(5):3200, 190 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2013.3200.
107. EFSA Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms (GMO). (2010). Scientific Opinion on the assessment of potential impacts of genetically modified plants on non-target organisms. *EFSA J* 2010; 8(11): 1877. [72 pp.] doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1877. Available online: <http://www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal.htm>
108. Frieß, J. L., von Gleich, A. and Giese, B., 2019. Gene drives as a new quality in GMO releases—a comparative technology characterization. *PeerJ*, 7:e6793.
109. Hayes, K. R., Hosack, G. R., Dana, G. V., Foster, S. D., Ford, J. H., Thresher, R., Ickowicz, A., Peel, D., Tizard, M., De Barro, P., Strive, T. and Dambacher, J. M. (2018). Identifying and detecting potentially adverse ecological outcomes associated with the release of gene-drive modified organisms. *Journal of Responsible Innovation*, 5, S139–S158.
110. Hoc, T. Q., Ninh, T. U., Tuat, N. V., Hung, N. V., and Cuong, N. D. (2011). Risk Assessment of the Pilot Release of *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes containing *Wolbachia*. Hanoi. Available at: http://www.eliminatedengue.com/library/publication/document/july_2011_ra_report_eng.pdf
111. Kuzma, J. (2019) Procedurally Robust Risk Assessment Framework for Novel Genetically Engineered Organisms and Gene Drives. *Regulation & Governance* <https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12245>.

112. National Research Council. (1983). Risk Assessment in the Federal Government: Managing the Process. National Academies Press, Washington, DC.
113. National Research Council. (1996). Understanding Risk: Informing Decisions in a Democratic Society. National Academies Press, Washington, DC.
114. Murphy, B., Jansen, C., Murray, J., and De Barro, P. (2010). Risk Analysis on the Australian release of *Aedes aegypti* (L.) (Diptera Culicidae) containing Wolbachia. CSIRO Entomology. Available at: <http://www.eliminatedengue.com/publications/index/view/publication/32/category/risk-assessment-and-biosafety>
115. Roberts, A., Andrade, P. P. d., Okumu, F., Quemada, H., Savadogo, M., Singh, J. A., and James, S. (2017). Results from the Workshop “Problem Formulation for the Use of Gene Drive in Mosquitoes”. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 96, 530-533.
116. Raybould, A. (2006). Problem formulation and hypothesis testing for environmental risk assessments of genetically modified crops. *Environmental Biosafety Research*, 5(3), 119-125.
117. Rüdelsheim, P. L. J. and Smets, G. (2018). Gene Drives. Experience with gene drive systems that may inform an environmental risk assessment. COGEM Report CGM 2018-03. 75pp. Available at: https://www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/event/190515-4_1_Rudelsheim-Patrick.pdf.
118. Simon, S., Otto, M. and Engelhard, M. (2018). Synthetic gene drive: between continuity and novelty: Crucial differences between gene drive and genetically modified organisms require an adapted risk assessment for their use. *EMBO Reports*, 19(5): e45760.
119. Liu and Stewart. 2019. An exposure pathway-based risk assessment system for GM plants. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*. 17, 1859–1861.
120. OECD. Consensus Document on the Biology of the Mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. ENV/JM/MONO (2018), Series on Harmonisation of Regulatory Oversight in Biotechnology No. 65 . [http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=ENV/JM/MONO\(2018\)23&docLanguage=En](http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=ENV/JM/MONO(2018)23&docLanguage=En)
121. Tanaka, T., Tanaka, N., Nagano, Y., Kanuka, H., Yamamoto, D. S., Yamamoto, N., Nanba, E. and Nishiuchi, T. (2019). Efforts to enhance safety measures for CRISPR/Cas-based gene drive technology in Japan, *J Environ Safety*. [Doi.org/10.11162/daikankyo.E19SC0801](https://doi.org/10.11162/daikankyo.E19SC0801).
122. Teem, J. L., Ambali, A., Glover, B., Ouedraogo, J., Makinde, D. and Roberts, A. (2019). Problem formulation for gene drive mosquitoes designed to reduce malaria transmission in Africa: results from four regional consultations 2016–2018. *Malaria J*, 18, 347.
123. US EPA. (1998). Guidelines for ecological risk assessment. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Risk Assessment Forum, Washington, DC. Available at https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2014-11/documents/eco_risk_assessment1998.pdf
124. van der Vlugt, C., Brown, D. D., Applied, K. L., 2018. A framework for the risk assessment and management of gene drive technology in contained use. *Appl Biosafety*. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1535676018755117>
125. Van Driesche, R., Hoddle, M. (2016). Non-target effects of insect biocontrol agents and trends in host specificity since 1985. *CAB Reviews* 11(044):1-66. <https://www.cabi.org/bni/FullTextPDF/2017/20173081643.pdf>
126. Wolt, J. D., Keese, P., Raybould, A., Fitzpatrick, J. W., Burachik, M., Gray, A., & Wu, F. (2010). Problem formulation in the environmental risk assessment for genetically modified plants. *Transgenic Res*, 19(3), 425-436.

Social, legal and ethical considerations

127. Carter, S., Report, R. F. W., August, 2016. (n.d.). Policy and regulatory issues for gene drives in insects. (A workshop report). <https://www.jcvi.org/sites/default/files/assets/projects/policy-and-regulatory-issues-for-gene-drives-in-insects/report-complete.pdf>
128. Delborne, J. Shapiro, J., Farooque, M., Ford, T., George, D., and Dermer S. (2019). Exploring stakeholder perspectives on the development of a gene drive mouse for biodiversity protection on islands: summary report of stakeholder interviews. Available at: <https://go.ncsu.edu/ges-gene-drive-landscape>.
129. Farooque, M., Barnhill-Dilling, S. K., Shapiro, J., and Delborne, J. (2019) Exploring stakeholder perspectives on the development of a gene drive mouse for biodiversity protection on islands: workshop report. Available at: <https://research.ncsu.edu/ges/files/2019/02/Gene-Drive-Mouse-Stakeholder-Landscape-Analysis-02-18.pdf>.

130. George DR, Kuiken T, Delborne JA. 2019 Articulating 'free, prior and informed consent' (FPIC) for engineered gene drives. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 286: 20191484. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.1484>
131. Gutzmann, N., Elsensohn, J. E., Barnes, J. C., Baltzegar, J., Jones, M. S., & Sudweeks, J. (2017). CRISPR-based gene drive in agriculture will face technical and governance challenges. *EMBO Reports*, 18(9), 1479–1480. <http://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201744661>
132. Hartley, S., Thizy, D., Ledingham, K., Coulibaly, M., Diabate, A., Dicko, B., et al. (2019). Knowledge engagement in gene drive research for malaria control. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*, 13(4), e0007233. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007233>
133. Hudson, M., Mead, A. T. P., Chagné, D., Roskrige, N., Morrison, S., Wilcox, P. L., Allan, A. C. (2019). Indigenous Perspectives and Gene Editing in Aotearoa New Zealand. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol.* 7: 70. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2019.00070/full>.
134. Jones, M. S., Delborne, J. A., Elsensohn, J., Mitchell, P. D., & Brown, Z. S. (2019). Does the U.S. public support using gene drives in agriculture? And what do they want to know? *Sci Adv*, 5(9), eaau8462. <http://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aau8462>
135. Kuzma, J., Gould, F., Brown, Z., Collins, J., Delborne, J., Frow, E., et al. (2017). A roadmap for gene drives: using institutional analysis and development to frame research needs and governance in a systems context. *J Responsible Innovat*, 5(sup1), S13–S39. <http://doi.org/10.1080/23299460.2017.1410344>
136. Meghani Z, Autonomy of Nations and Indigenous Peoples and the Environmental Release of Genetically Engineered Animals with Gene Drives. *Global Policy*. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/1758-5899.12699>.
137. Kolopack, P.A., Lavery, J.V. (2017). Informed consent in field trials of gene-drive mosquitoes. *Gates Open Res.* 1, 1–12. [Doi:10.12688/gatesopenres.12771.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.12771.1).
138. Oye, K. A., Esvelt, K., Appleton, E., Catteruccia, F., Church, G., Kuiken, T., Lightfoot, S. B.-Y., McNamara, J., Smidler, A., and Collins, J. P. (2014). Regulating gene drives. *Science* 345, 626–628. <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/345/6197/626.full>
139. Singh J. A. (2019). Informed consent and community engagement in open field research: lessons for gene drive science. *Bmc Medical Ethics*, 20, 54.

Miscellaneous

140. Buchthal, J., Evans, S. W., Lunshof, J., Telford III, S. R., Esvelt, K. M. (2019). Mice Against Ticks: an experimental community guided effort to prevent tick-borne disease by altering the shared environment. *Phil Trans R Soc B*, 374, 20180105. [Doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0105](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2018.0105).
141. Franz, A. W., Sanchez-Vargas, I., Piper, J., Smith, M. R., Khoo, C. C., James, A. A., and Olson, K. E. (2009). Stability and loss of a virus resistance phenotype over time in transgenic mosquitoes harbouring an antiviral effector gene. *Insect Mol Biol* 18, 661–672.
142. Macias, V. M., Jimenez, A. J., Burini-Kojin, B., Pledger, D., Jasinskiene, N., Phong, C. H., Chu, K., Fazekas, A., Martin, K., Marinotti, O., and James, A. A. (2017). Nanos-Driven expression of *piggyBac* transposase induces mobilization of a synthetic autonomous transposon in the malaria vector mosquito, *Anopheles stephensi*. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 87, 81–89. (NOTE: This is a technology development effort that did not result in a useful drive. At this time it would not be considered a gene drive *per se* and is one of the few efforts in mosquitoes to develop a drive from a transposable element.)
143. Zheng, X., Zhang, D., Li, Y., Yang, C., Wu, Y., Liang, X., Liang, Y., Pan, X., Hu, L., Sun, Q., Wang, X., Wei, Y., Zhu, J., Qian, W., Yan, Z., Parker, A. G., Gilles, J. R. L., Bourtzis, K., Bouyer, J., Tang, M., Zheng, B., Yu, J., Liu, J., Zhuang, J., Hu, Z., Zhang, M., Gong, J.-T., Hong, X.-Y., Zhang, Z., Lin, L., Liu, Q., Hu, Z., Wu, Z., Baton, L. A., Hoffmann, A. A. and Xi, Z. (2019). Incompatible and sterile insect techniques combined eliminate mosquitoes. *Nature* 572, 56–61. [Doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1407-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1407-9).